Interplay of Phonon and Exciton-Mediated Superconductivity in Hybrid Semiconductor-Superconductor Structures

Petros Skopelitis,^{1,2,*} Evgenia D. Cherotchenko,^{2,3,†} Alexey V. Kavokin,^{2,4,5,‡} and Anna Posazhennikova^{1,§}

¹Department of Physics, Royal Holloway, University of London, Egham, Surrey TW20 0EX, United Kingdom

²Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom

³ITMO University, Saint Petersburg 197101, Russia

⁴CNR-SPIN, Viale del Politecnico 1, I-00133 Rome, Italy

⁵Spin Optics Laboratory, St. Petersburg State University, 1 Ulianovskaya, St. Petersburg 198504, Russia

(Received 21 June 2017; revised manuscript received 27 July 2017; published 9 March 2018)

We predict a strong enhancement of the critical temperature in a conventional Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) superconductor in the presence of a bosonic condensate of exciton polaritons. The effect depends strongly on the ratio of the cutoff frequencies for phonon and exciton-polariton mediated BCS superconductivity, respectively. We also discuss a possible design of hybrid semiconductor-superconductor structures suitable for the experimental observation of such an effect.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.107001

Introduction.-There have been enormous efforts to realize superconductivity at higher temperatures, especially in a form similar to BCS superconductivity [1], which involves the formation of Cooper pairs. In the search of high T_c superconductivity, it is generally agreed that there are two main ways to achieve high T_c : (a) by discovering or creating a system where the mediators (phonons or other excitations) of Cooper pairing have high characteristic energies (higher then the typical Debye scale ω_D found in BCS metals) and (b) by increasing the coupling strength of the mediators with electrons [2]. However, increasing the coupling strength may lead to lattice instabilities [3], and materials with higher Debye energy do not necessarily have larger coupling constant. In this context, since the 1970s, special attention has been paid to the out-of-thermal equilibrium systems, where the strength of electron-electron coupling may be mediated by crystal excitations other than phonons. In particular, a lot of works were devoted to the exciton-mediated superconductivity [4,5]. While there is no unambiguous experimental evidence for the exciton-mediated superconductivity reported until now, recently, the similar phenomenon of light-induced superconductivity has been discovered [6,7]. In these experiments, light serves for the generation of crystal excitations similar to excitons that help electron-electron pairing.

In the last decade, several theoretical proposals on the superconductivity mediated by a Bose-Einstein condensate of excitons (exciton polaritons) have been published [2,8,9]. These proposals are based on tremendous progress in the experimental studies of bosonic condensates of exciton polaritons at elevated temperatures [10]. These studies pave way to the observation of superconductivity in semiconductor structures under optical pumping.

While the light- or exciton-mediated superconductivity is the focus of interest now, it is yet far from being clear what kind of material system would be the most suitable for the observation of such phenomena, especially at high temperatures. In the present Letter, we show a high potentiality of hybrid superconductor-semiconductor systems, where the interplay of a conventional phonon-mediated BCS and the superconductivity mediated by an excitonic condensate may lead to a sharp increase of T_c . Recent experiments [7] also indicate higher superconducting temperatures when superconductivity is light induced. Our setup is, however, very different from experimental systems of [6,7], and we do not consider short-time superconductivity as in these experiments.

We develop a simple model illustrating how our mechanism of achieving high T_c would work. We also propose a specific experimental setup with a superconductor (SC)—quantum wells (QWs) heterostructure embedded in a semiconductor microcavity shown in Fig. 1. In such a



FIG. 1. The diagram of a structure suitable for observation of the interplay of phonon- and exciton (bogolon)-induced superconductivity. A superconducting ring is deposited around pillar semiconductor microcavity. DBRs denote distributed Bragg reflectors. The condensate of polaritons is excited by a laser (a). View from the top (b).

setup, the combined effect of the phonon coupling in conventional BCS superconductors and the light-induced electron-electron coupling mediated by a bosonic condensate of exciton polaritons should be realized. We revisit the Bose-Fermi system considered in [8,11], but take into account two types of bosonic excitations instead of one: the "fast" bogolons resulting from density fluctuations of the polaritons in the polaritonic Bose-Einstein condensate (pBEC) [8,11] and the "slow" acoustic phonons of the metal plate. By generalizing Gor'kov equations [12] for this case, we derive critical temperature, which can be high due to interference effects of the two interactions at long distances and strongly depends on relative sizes of characteristic cutoff frequencies for phonons and bogolons (the excitations of the pBEC).

There are several significant advantages of our setup, where superconductivity is assisted by light, over typical suggestions from the past [4]. The seminal work [4] is based on the original Ginsburg ideas of the excitonic superconducting mechanism [13]. A thin metallic layer on a semiconductor surface was suggested as a possible experimental setup for realization of excitonic-mediated coupling between electrons [4]. It was shown that in order to see any results in T_c , the excitonic coupling constant λ_{ex} should be at least of the order of 0.2 or 0.3. These values of λ_{ex} turned out to be very challenging from an experimental point of view and have still not been achieved (see also Ref. [14] and references therein).

In our setup, the BCS coupling constant and therefore the "bare" critical temperature T_{c0} of the SC are those of a well-known conventional BCS superconductor (along with its characteristic Debye frequency ω_D). The great advantage of the exciton-polariton-induced coupling is that the coupling strength can be controlled experimentally; e.g., it was shown the coupling is proportional to polariton density [8,11]. Moreover, the cutoff frequency of the polaritons ω_B can be also controlled and is defined by the microcavities' properties. As we demonstrate below, the control of the two parameters can lead to a notable increase of T_c in comparison with T_{c0} . In our structure, any increase of the measured T_c in comparison with the reference temperature T_{c0} will confirm the interplay of the two coupling mechanisms.

Model Hamiltonian.—We develop a simple model for the setup in Fig. 1. The setup comprises a semiconductor microcavity with embedded QWs and a 2D layer of a conventional SC separated from the wells by a thin barrier. The bosonic condensate of exciton polaritons is generated by a continuos wave (CW) pulse in the quasistationary regime, which is a well-established technique nowadays [15–17]. Its density N_0 can be controlled by the pump intensity. The diameter of the total structure could be around 50 μ m or less with the micropillar diameter of 20–30 μ m.

Importantly, the suggested experimental geometry and structure design allow for the strong reduction of light absorption in the superconducting ring. The light absorption usually leads to an unwanted increase of the effective temperature of the electron gas and therefore hampers the experiments. We chose the quasi-2D geometry since electron-exciton interaction that is crucial for the exciton-mediated superconductivity is then maximized. The Hamiltonian reads

$$H = H_e^0 + H_p^0 + H_{e-e} + H_{e-p} + H_{p-p} + H_{e-ph}, \quad (1)$$

where H_e^0 , H_p^0 are the electron and polariton kinetic terms

$$H_e^0 = \int \psi_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) \left(-\frac{1}{2m_e} \nabla^2 - \mu_e \right) \psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x},$$

$$H_p^0 = \int \phi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{R}) \left(-\frac{1}{2m_p} \nabla^2 - \mu_p \right) \phi(\mathbf{R}) d\mathbf{R}.$$
(2)

Here, the electron field operators are

$$\psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Psi_{k,\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) c_{k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \eta_{a} c_{\mathbf{k}},$$
$$\psi_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Psi_{k,\alpha}^{*}(\mathbf{x}) c_{k}^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \eta_{a} c_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}, \quad (3)$$

where η_a are the two spin functions, $c_{\mathbf{k}}$, $c_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}$ are fermionic creation and annihilation operators, and A is the area of the metallic plate. Polaritons are described by the field operators

$$\phi(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{A}} \sum_{\mathbf{P}} e^{i\mathbf{P}\cdot\mathbf{R}} b_{\mathbf{P}},$$

$$\phi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{A}} \sum_{\mathbf{P}} e^{-i\mathbf{P}\cdot\mathbf{R}} b_{\mathbf{P}}^{\dagger},$$
(4)

where **P** and **R** are the polariton's center of mass momentum and the polariton's center of mass coordinate, and $b_{\mathbf{P}}$ and $b_{\mathbf{P}}^{\dagger}$ are bosonic annihilation and creation operators.

The interaction terms in Eq. (1) include electron-electron interaction

$$H_{e-e} = \int \psi_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x})\psi_{\beta}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}')V_{c}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')$$
$$\times \psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})\psi_{\beta}(\mathbf{x}')d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{x}', \tag{5}$$

electron-polariton interaction

$$H_{e-p} = \int \psi_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x})\psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})V_{e-p}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{R})$$
$$\times \phi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{R})\phi(\mathbf{R})d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{R}, \tag{6}$$

interaction between polaritons

$$H_{p-p} = \int \phi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{R})\phi(\mathbf{R})V_{p-p}(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}')$$
$$\times \phi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{R}')\phi(\mathbf{R}')d\mathbf{R}d\mathbf{R}', \tag{7}$$

and electron-phonon interaction

$$H_{e-\rm ph} = -e \int \psi_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x})\psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})V_{e-\rm ph}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')$$
$$\times \rho(\mathbf{x}')d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{x}'. \tag{8}$$

Here, $V_c(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x})$ is the screened Coulomb repulsion potential, and $V_{p-p}(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R})$ is contact interaction between the exciton- polaritons; electron-polariton $V_{e-p}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{R})$ and electron-phonon $V_{e-ph}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')$ potential can be taken as contact ones (see Supplemental Material [18]), $\rho(\mathbf{x})$ in the background surface charge density of the lattice.

After performing the standard Bogoliubov transformation on the exciton-polariton condensate, we arrive to the effective Hamiltonian, which takes into account the interaction of electrons with *bogolons* (elementary excitations of the exciton-polariton condensate)

$$H = H_e^0 + H_{e-e} + H_{e-bog} + H_{e-ph}.$$
 (9)

Here, we have

$$H_{e-\text{bog}} = \gamma_1 \int \psi_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x})\psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})\phi_1(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x},$$
$$H_{e-\text{ph}} = \gamma_2 \int \psi_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x})\psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})\phi_2(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x},$$
(10)

where $\phi_1(\mathbf{x})$ is the bosonic field operator of bogolons, $\phi_2(\mathbf{x})$ is the field operator of phonons, and $\gamma_{1(2)}$ is the electron-bogolon (electron-phonon) coupling constant (see Supplemental Material [18]).

Effective attraction and gap equation.—We now study the system of electrons with the effective bogolon- and phonon-mediated attractions (the Coulomb interaction is neglected for the moment). The effective Hamiltonian will then have two contributions (j = 1, 2)

$$H_{e-e}^{\text{eff}} = -\frac{V_j}{2} \int d\mathbf{x} \psi_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{\beta}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{\beta}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (11)$$

where $V_1 = (\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2)$ and $V_2 = \gamma_2^2$ have different ranges in momentum space: V_1 is constant for $|\xi' - \xi| < \omega_B$, and zero otherwise, while V_2 is constant for $\hbar\omega_B < |\xi' - \xi| < \hbar\omega_D$ (with ξ , ξ' being energies counted from the Fermi energy as usual in the BCS theory). Here, we assumed the inequality $\omega_B < \omega_D$ for the following reason: the characteristic energy cutoff for polaritonic condensates is expected to be of the order of 100 K in high-quality inorganic microcavities, determined by the Rabi splitting (which is tuneable and depends on the microcavity parameters). For a conventional weak-coupling superconductor (e.g., Al), we expect the Debye energy to be of the order of 400 K, which is larger than $\hbar\omega_B$ and much larger than $k_BT_c \approx 1$ K. In the mean-field theory, the Hamiltonian (11) becomes

$$H_{e-e}^{\text{eff}} = -\int d\mathbf{x} \{ \Delta_j^*(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{\uparrow}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{x}) + \psi_{\downarrow}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) \Delta_j(\mathbf{x}) \}, \qquad (12)$$

where we introduced two gap functions corresponding to two different regions of interactions V_1 and V_2

$$\Delta_j(\mathbf{x}) = V_j \langle \psi_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{x})\psi_{\uparrow}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle.$$
(13)

We proceed in the standard way [19,20] in deriving the gap equation (see Supplemental Material [18]), in some ways similar to the case of a two-band superconductor [21]. The final equations for Δ_1 and Δ_2 can be written in the following matrix form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1 \\ \Delta_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)I_1 & \lambda_2I_2 \\ \lambda_2I_1 & \lambda_2I_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1 \\ \Delta_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (14)$$

where

$$I_{1} = \int_{0}^{\hbar\omega_{B}} \frac{d\xi}{(\xi^{2} + \Delta_{1}^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tanh\left[\frac{(\xi^{2} + \Delta_{1}^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2k_{B}T}\right],$$

$$I_{2} = \int_{\hbar\omega_{B}}^{\hbar\omega_{D}} \frac{d\xi}{(\xi^{2} + \Delta_{2}^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tanh\left[\frac{(\xi^{2} + \Delta_{2}^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2k_{B}T}\right],$$
(15)

 $\lambda_1 = N(0)\gamma_1^2$ is the effective coupling constant due to bogolons, and $\lambda_2 = N(0)\gamma_2^2$ is the effective coupling constant due to phonons, $N(0) = mp_F/(2\pi^2)$ being the density of states on the Fermi surface in 2D. One should note that Δ_1 and Δ_2 are not two separate gaps but just two constants which define one frequency dependent gap function.

Critical temperature.—The critical temperature T_c is obtained by linearizing the gap equation (14) by requiring $T \rightarrow T_c$, $\Delta \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)I_1 & -\lambda_2 I_2 \\ -\lambda_2 I_1 & 1 - \lambda_2 I_2 \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$
 (16)

This is the determinant of the matrix in Eqs. (14) for the eigenvalue equal to unity. In the limit $T \to T_c$, $\Delta \to 0$, the integrals I_1 and I_2 can be expressed in terms of the digamma functions Ψ

$$I_{1} = \Psi\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\omega_{B}}{2\pi T_{c}}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \approx \ln\left(\frac{2e^{\gamma}\omega_{B}}{\pi k_{B}T_{c}}\right),$$

$$I_{2} = \Psi\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\omega_{D}}{2\pi T_{c}}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\omega_{B}}{2\pi T_{c}}\right) \approx \ln\left(\frac{\omega_{D}}{\omega_{B}}\right). \quad (17)$$

Here, the approximate values are valid for $k_B T_c \ll \hbar \omega_B < \hbar \omega_D$, and γ is Euler's constant. The critical temperature is then

$$k_B T_c \approx 1.13 \hbar \omega_B \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2^*}\right),$$
 (18)



FIG. 2. Critical temperature T_c of the two-layered structure of aluminium superconductor ($\hbar\omega_D = 428$ K, $T_{c0} \approx 1$ K) superimposed with pBEC calculated from the numerical solution of Eq. (20) (solid lines). The critical temperature is shown versus the coupling constant arising due to the bogolon-induced attraction between electrons λ_1 . T_c is plotted for different ω_B -s: $\hbar\omega_B = 4.28$ K (1), $\hbar\omega_B = 42.8$ K (2), $\hbar\omega_B = 107$ K (3), $\hbar\omega_B = 214$ K (4), $\hbar\omega_B = 385.2$ K (5), $\hbar\omega_B = 423.72$ K (6). The dashed curves are obtained from Eq. (20) with the integrals replaced by their approximate values (18) for the corresponding ω_B -s.

where λ_2^* is the logarithmically renormalized (enhanced) interaction constant due to phonons

$$\lambda_2^* = \frac{\lambda_2}{1 - \lambda_2 \ln \frac{\omega_D}{\omega_B}}.$$
 (19)

One should note that in the limit $\hbar \omega_D > \hbar \omega_B > k_B T_c$, we would obtain a similar to Eq. (18) expression for the critical temperature but with $\omega_B \rightleftharpoons \omega_D$, and $\lambda_1 \rightleftharpoons \lambda_2$.

We now estimate the effect of Coulomb interaction along the lines of [22] for weak coupling (one could also do it as in [23] for strong coupling theory). We extend the Gorkov equations for the case of three interacting constants (the third constant *M* being effective Coulomb interaction with the cutoff frequency $\omega_C = E_F/\hbar$). Introducing $\mu = N(0)M$, we get a similar to Eq. (16) (see also Supplemental Material [18], Sec. III)

$$1 - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)I_1 - \lambda_2 I_2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 I_1 I_2 + \mu^* (I_1 + I_2) - \lambda_1 \mu^* I_1 I_2 = 0,$$
(20)

where $\mu^* = \mu/[1 + \mu \ln(\omega_C/\omega_D)]$ is the logarithmically suppressed Coulomb interaction as usual. We solve Eq. (20) numerically as well as analytically, using the approximate expressions for the integrals (18). The analytical expression is $k_B T_c \approx 1.13 \hbar \omega_B \exp[(-1/(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - \mu^*)]]$. and is valid in the limit $E_F \gg \hbar \omega_D \gg \hbar \omega_B \gg k_B T_c$. In Fig. 2, we present the results for T_c for fixed λ_2 , μ^* and different ω_B -s (1%, 10%, 25%, 50%, 90% and 99% of $\hbar \omega_D$). We take



FIG. 3. Critical temperature T_c of the two-layered structure of an aluminium superconductor ($\omega_D = 429$ K) superimposed with pBEC from the GaN layer. The critical temperature is shown versus polariton density N_0 . The dashed curve represents the result derived by formula (18). The solid curve shows the result derived from numerical simulation of gap equation with two types of interaction potentials taken into account: electronphonon, electron-polariton, and Coulomb interaction in line with [9,11].

 $\lambda_2 \approx 0.3$ and $\mu^* \approx 0.14$, which approximately correspond to Al. One should keep in mind that the validity range of the results is determined by the smallest frequency, in this case ω_B .

We see from Fig. 2 that even small λ_1 can lead to a substantial increase of the critical temperature T_c in comparison to the bare one T_{c0} , provided the cutoff frequency for bogolons ω_B is of sizeable effect compared to ω_D . Since both parameters (λ_1 and ω_B) are tuneable, the setup we suggest is very promising for obtaining super-conductors with strongly enhanced critical temperature. We note that our simple model should be derivable from the strong coupling limit by approximating $\alpha^2 F(\omega)$ by two δ functions at ω_B and ω_D . The procedure should result in further suppression of T_c at large $\lambda - s$, but would, however, not change our main conclusions.

In Fig. 3, we present the dependence of the critical temperature T_c , calculated by the direct numerical solution of the gap equation exciton-polariton interaction potential taken from Ref. [11] with the additional phononic coupling (black curve), and by formula (18) (red curve) in the limit $\omega_B > \omega_D$ for a specific two-layered heterostructure, where the superconducting layer is an aluminium sheet, while pBEC is induced in the GaN layer. Parameters used for the calculation are taken from Ref. [11]. The difference between these results may come from nonproper derivation of the exciton-polariton cutoff frequency ω_B in both cases.

Conclusion.—We studied the superconducting critical temperature of a hybrid system where Cooper pairing is

mediated by coupling to two types of excitations: the Bogoliubov excitations of the condensate and the phononic excitations of the metal plate. We show that the additional coupling leads to a considerable enhancement of the critical temperature. We propose a concrete experimental setup in which superconductivity with the two couplings can be realized and estimate the critical temperature for specific realization of an Al superconductor coupled to a pBEC from the GaN layer. Note that the chosen GaN/AlGaN heterostructure allows for condensate stability up to the room temperatures due to the high exciton binding energy specific of the structure. Our model can be straightforwardly generalized to the case of multiband superconductors, such as pnictides, for instance, with our main conclusion about the dramatic increase of T_c remaining qualitatively the same. For any specific structure, a detailed calculation accounting for all terms in the Hamiltonian (9) would be needed; however, the interplay between phonon and exciton superconductivity will remain important and will still result in the enhancement of T_c .

A. K. acknowledges the financial support from the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (RFBR) (Project No. 15-52-12018) and Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) in the framework of International Collaborative Research Center TRR 160. E. C. acknowledges Megagrant No. 14.Y26.31.0015 and the Project No. 3.2614.2017/4.6 of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation.

^{*}zvap078@live.rhul.ac.uk

[†]echerotchenko@gmail.com

- [§]anna.posazhennikova@rhul.ac.uk
- J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, and J. R. Schrieffer, Phys. Rev. 106, 162 (1957).
- [2] O. Cotlet, S. Zeytinoglu, M. Sigrist, E. Demler, and A. Imamoglu, Phys. Rev. B 93, 054510 (2016).
- [3] P. B. Allen and B. Mitrovic, Theory of Superconducting T_c, in *Solid State Physics*, edited by F. Seitz, H. Ehrenreich, and D. Turnbull, Vol. 37 (Academic, New York, 1982).
- [4] D. Allender, J. Bray, and J. Bardeen, Phys. Rev. B 7, 1020 (1973).
- [5] V. L. Ginzburg, Usp. Fiz. Nauk. 118, 315 (1976) [Sov. Phys. Usp., 19, 174 (1976)].

- [6] D. Fausti, R. I. Tobey, N. Dean, S. Kaiser, A. Dienst, M. C. Hoffmann, S. Pyon, T. Takayama, H. Takagi, and A. Cavalleri, Science **331**, 189 (2011).
- [7] M. Mitrano, A. Cantaluppi, D. Nicoletti, S. Kaiser, A. Perucchi, S. Lupi, P. Di Pietro, D. Pontiroli, M. Ricco, S. R. Clark, D. Jaksch, and A. Cavalleri, Nature (London) 530, 461 (2016).
- [8] F. P. Laussy, A. V. Kavokin, and I. A. Shelykh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 106402 (2010).
- [9] E. D. Cherotchenko, T. Espinosa-Ortega, A. V. Nalitov, I. A. Shelykh, and A. V. Kavokin, Superlattices Microstruct. 90, 170 (2016).
- [10] S. Christopoulos, G. Baldassarri von Högersthal, A. J. Grundy, P. G. Lagoudakis, A. V. Kavokin, J. J. Baumberg, G. Christmann, R. Butté, E. Feltin, J.-F. Carlin, and N. Grandjean, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 126405 (2007).
- [11] F. P. Laussy, T. Taylor, I. A. Shelykh, and A. V. Kavokin, J. Nanophoton. 6, 064502 (2012).
- [12] L. P. Gor'kov, Sov. Phys. JETP 7, 505 (1958).
- [13] V. L. Ginzburg, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 14, 572 (1971)[Sov. Phys. JETP Lett. 14, 396 (1971)].
- [14] S. H. Rhim, R. Saniz, M. Weinert, and A. J. Freeman, 2016).
- [15] J. Kasprzak, M. Richard, S. Kundermann, A. Baas, P. Jeambrun, J. M. J. Keeling, F. M. Marchetti, M. H. Szymanska, R. André, J. L. Staehli, V. Savona, P. B. Littlewood, B. Deveaud, and Le Si Dang, Nature (London) 443, 409 (2006).
- [16] K. G. Lagoudakis, M. Wouters, M. Richard, A. Baas, I. Carusotto, R. André, L. S. Dang, and B. Deveaud-Plédran, Nat. Phys. 4, 706 (2008).
- [17] J. Levrat, R. Butté, E. Feltin, J.-F. Carlin, N. Grandjean, D. Solnyshkov, and G. Malpuech, Phys. Rev. B 81, 125305 (2010).
- [18] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.107001 for effective boson-mediated interaction between electrons and Gor'kov equations for the case of two coupling constants.
- [19] A. A. Abrikosov, L. P. Gorkov, and I. E. Dzyaloshinski, *Methods of Quantum Field Theory in Statistical Physics* (Dover Publications, New York 1975).
- [20] A. L. Fetter and J. D. Walecka, *Quantum Theory of Many-Particle Physics* (McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1971).
- [21] D. R. Tilley, Proc. Phys. Soc., 84, 573 (1964).
- [22] N. N. Bogoliubov, V. V. Tolmachev, and D. V. Shirkov, Fortschr. Phys. 6, 605 (1958).
- [23] W. L. McMillan, Phys. Rev. 167, 331 (1968).

[‡]A. Kavokin@soton.ac.uk